

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

JOSEPH B. PONDER,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	Civil No. 04-6279-TC
v.	)	
	)	ORDER
WILLIAM B. PORTER, et al.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
_____	)	

Magistrate Judge Thomas M. Coffin filed Findings and Recommendation on November 14, 2005, in the above entitled case. The matter is now before me pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). When either party objects to any portion of a magistrate judge's Findings and Recommendation, the district court must make a de novo determination of that portion of the magistrate judge's report. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore Business Machines, Inc.,


656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981), cert. denied, 455 U.S. 920 (1982).

Plaintiff has timely filed objections. I have, therefore, given de novo review of Magistrate Judge Coffin's rulings.

I find no error. Accordingly, I ADOPT Magistrate Judge Coffin's Findings and Recommendation filed November 14, 2005, in its entirety. Defendants' motion for summary judgment (#18) is allowed. Plaintiff's motions for summary judgment (#21, #23) are denied. This action is dismissed with prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 23rd day of DECEMBER, 2005.

  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE